

Drain: SCHWEIDER & PIERCE Drain #: 100  
Improvement/Arm: FRANK PIERCE  
Operator: J. LIVINGSTON Date: 6-4-04  
Drain Classification: Urban/Rural Year Installed: 1904

### GIS Drain Input Checklist

- Pull Source Documents for Scanning
- Digitize & Attribute Tile Drains
- Digitize & Attribute Storm Drains
- Digitize & Attribute SSD
- Digitize & Attribute Open Ditch
- Stamp Plans
- Sum drain lengths & Validate
- Enter Improvements into Posse
- Enter Drain Age into Posse
- Sum drain length for Watershed in Posse
- Check Database entries for errors

*J. Livingston*  
*J. Livingston*  
*J. Livingston*  
*J. Livingston*  
*J. Livingston*  
*J. Livingston*  
*J. Livingston*  
*J. Livingston*  
*J. Livingston*  
*J. Livingston*  
*J. Livingston*



with record # 1  
31 16 33

No.

IN THE MATTER OF

Frank Pierce

Report Drain  
Approved and assessments  
Confirmed and viewers  
ordered to meet and make  
final reports viewers to meet  
on July 23-1904

Henry Carpenter P. B.

Report of Ditch Viewers.

COMMISSIONERS' COURT.

*July 23 1904*

Filed \_\_\_\_\_, 1904

Auditor.

Wm. B. Burford, Printer, Indianapolis. 500-2-25-99.

To the Honorable Board of Commissioners of Hamilton County, Indiana:

We, the undersigned, appointed by this Court, at its May Reg. Term, 1904, to view a drain described in the certified copy of a petition and order of Court for a drain, by Frank Pierce and others, handed us by the Auditor, would represent that according to said order we, on the day named therein, to wit: The 19 day of May, 1904, in company with H. S. Fiedley, a civil engineer, made an accurate survey of the line of said proposed drain, from its source to its outlet. A plat of said drain is filed herewith, including the survey by the engineer, marked "Exhibit B." We caused stakes to be set along said line, each one hundred feet, numbering down stream, as shown by plat. We also made a computation of the total number of cubic yards of earth to be removed from said drain, and estimated the total cost for the construction of the work at \$260.00 Dollars.

~~We also apportioned and set apart to each parcel of land a share of said work in proportion to the benefits received, all of which will fully appear by a tabular statement in this report.~~ We have also set out, by a statement below, the names of the owners of lands benefited, with a description of each tract of land, and the amount assessed against each tract. The depth of cut, the width at bottom, and width at top along said drain, is also shown in a tabular statement in this report. After a full and careful view and examination, we are of the opinion that the drain, as located by the survey, will be of Public benefit and convenience and will

benefit 1 or more public highways and that the cost of construction of the same will be less than the benefits to be derived therefrom and that said drainage can best be accomplished as described on page 2 of this report.

The following statement shows who are benefited, the lands thereof and assessments thereon, location, length of each share, amount of assessments, amount of benefits, amount of dirt to be removed from each share, price thereof per cubic yard, and total cost of each share:

NAMES OF OWNERS.	DESCRIPTION OF LANDS.	Section.	Township.	Range.	Acres Assessed.	1/2 Acs Assessed.	Acres Benefited.	1/2 Acs Benefited.	Amount of Benefits.	Amount of Assessments.	From Station.	To Station.	Feet Long.	Cubic Yards to be Removed	Price per Cubic Yard.	Cost of Construction of Share.
Frank Pierce	Pl. NE	NE	15	19	5	34	34		108.55	108.55						26.75
James I. Strope	Pl. NE	NE	15	19	5	6	6		15.45	15.45						3.87
Frank Fleming	Pl. NW	NE	15	19	5	4	4		6.80	6.80						1.66
Francis Fleming	Pl. NW	NE	15	19	5	7	7		34.40	34.40						8.39
Henry Lehr	Pl. N 1/2 SE	NE	15	19	5	15	15		66.75	66.75						16.23
D. M. Fleming	Pl. S 1/2 SE	NE	15	19	5	3	3		5.10	5.10						1.24
Rose Vannink	Pl. S 1/2 SE	NE	15	19	5	2	2		3.40	3.40						.83
Sarah M. Costin	Pl. NW	NW	14	19	5	8	8		13.60	13.60						3.32
Rebecca Roberts	Pl. SE	SE	10	19	5	1	1		1.70	1.70						.42
Hamilton County	Benefit to Arrive G.R.								5.00	5.00						1.22
Highway									240.75							63.60

TABULAR STATEMENT OF ASSESSMENTS, BENEFITS, ETC.

TABULAR STATEMENT OF STATIONS, WIDTH, DEPTH, LENGTH, ETC.

NAMES OF OWNERS.	DESCRIPTION OF LANDS.	Section.	Township.	Range.	Acres Assessed.	H'ths Assessed.	Acres Benefited.	H'ths Benefited.	Amount of Benefits.	Amount of Assessments.	From Station.	To Station.	Feet Long.	Cubic Yards to be Removed.	Price per Cubic Yard.	Cost of Construction of Share.	WIDTH AT TOP.		DEPTH OF CUT.		WIDTH AT BOTTOM.		WIDTH OF CLEARING OR TIMBER.
																	Feet.	Inches.	Feet.	Tenths.	Feet.	Inches.	

*Description.*  
*Location:* - Beginning about twenty (20) rods East of the Southwest corner of the Northeast quarter of the North East quarter of Section 15, Township 19 North, Range 5 East run thence South 18° West 395 feet; thence South 58° West 405 feet; thence South 26° West 200 feet; thence South 41° West 500 feet; thence South 60° West 80 feet and terminating in a tile drain, known as the Schneider Drain, on the lands of Francis Fleming, in the Southeast quarter of the Northeast quarter of Section 15 Township 19 North Range 5 East.

*Requirements.*  
 Said drain to consist of one row of 8" drain tile from Sta 0 to Sta 4 and one row of 10" drain tile from Sta 4 to Sta 15+80 or terminus.

All tile used in construction of said drain to be first class in every respect and laid in the ditch in a true line at the proper grade and must be left uncovered until inspected by the County Surveyor. After being accepted by the County Surveyor the trench of ditch to be filled full.

GENERAL SPECIFICATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS.

The length of the ditch as located is	1580 ft				
The fall of the established grade is	$\frac{234}{100}$	feet, from Station	0	to Station	1
" " "	$\frac{88}{100}$	" " "	1	" " "	4
" " "	$\frac{6}{100}$	" " "	4	" " "	15+80
The total fall is	feet to the				

We, the viewers, would further report that in our opinion it would be impracticable to allot said ditch for construction for the reasons that the work can be better done and in less time to sell the same in one contract to one contractor. Therefore we recommend that the Auditor sell said ditch as provided by law in such cases.

Viewers {  
 F. H. Kaiser  
 John Booth  
 J. A. Passwater

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this

4<sup>th</sup> day of June  
 Isaac Powell

Auditor Hamilton County.