

Drain: ROSS : MAIN **Drain #:** 228
Improvement/Arm: AMBROSE TEETER
Operator: J. LIVINGSTON **Date:** 6-2-04
Drain Classification: Urban/Rural **Year Installed:** 1884

GIS Drain Input Checklist

- Pull Source Documents for Scanning
- Digitize & Attribute Tile Drains
- Digitize & Attribute Storm Drains
- Digitize & Attribute SSD
- Digitize & Attribute Open Ditch
- Stamp Plans
- Sum drain lengths & Validate
- Enter Improvements into Posse
- Enter Drain Age into Posse
- Sum drain length for Watershed in Posse
- Check Database entries for errors

J. Livingston

J. Livingston

J. Livingston

J. Livingston

J. Livingston

Gasb 34 Footages for Historical Cost
Drain Length Log

Drain-Improvement: ROSS? MANUAL - AMBROSE TEETER

Drain Type:	Size:	Length	Length (DB Query)	Length Reconcile	Application	
					Price:	Cost:
OPEN DITCH	—	2800'	2800'		19.55/10	54,740'

Sum: 2800' 2800' \$54,740'

Final Report: 2800'

Comments:

State of Indiana, *Hamilton* County, ss:

We, the undersigned, Commissioners of Drainage, swear that we have each personally examined each tract of land assessed for benefits and damages and the whole route of the proposed work, and that such drain is of sufficient capacity to carry, without overflowing, all the water which flows or should flow along such route; that no other lands than those described in this report will be either benefited or damaged by the construction of the proposed work, and that all the statements therein are true and correct, and that all assessments of benefits and injuries set forth therein are correct, just, fair and equitable to all parties concerned, as we verily believe.

D. H. Dittus
S. B. Wells
Geo. W. Engeman

Commissioners.

Subscribed and sworn to by said Commissioners, this *19* day of *Nov*, 188*4*, before me.

W. A. Evans

Clerk Circuit Court.

No 4073
REPORT OF COMMISSIONERS.

FAVORABLE.

Peter Robbins
Ditch

FILED

NOV 20 1884

W. A. Evans
Clerk Hamilton Co.

Filed _____, 188

Clerk Circuit Court.

Wm. B. Burford, Printer, Indianapolis.

REPORT OF COMMISSIONERS.

To the Honorable Judge of the Hamilton Circuit Court:

The undersigned, Commissioners of Drainage, to whom was referred the petition of Ambras Peter and Daniel Robbins for the drainage of Pt N. NE. 26203 also North East South West and South East South West and North West South East all of section 26 Township Twenty 20 North of Range Three (3) East

in Hamilton County, respectfully report that they have inspected personally all the lands described in said petition, and all other lands liable to be affected by the construction of the proposed work, and are of the opinion that the proposed drainage will improve the public health of that neighborhood will be of public utility will benefit one public highway and that the same can be constructed at an expense less than the aggregate benefits to the lands to be affected thereby, and after causing the necessary surveys and examinations to be made they are of the opinion that the method of drainage should be as follows to wit: By the construction of an open ditch one foot wide in bottom, with side slopes 45° . Commencing at South West corner of the East half of the East half of the North West quarter of Section 26 Township Twenty North of Range Three East and run thence East 1080ft thence $N 61\frac{1}{2}^{\circ} E$ 520ft, $N 44^{\circ} E$ 200ft $N 35^{\circ} E$ 130ft $N 69\frac{1}{2}^{\circ} E$ 170ft $N 80\frac{1}{2}^{\circ} E$ 200ft $N 63^{\circ} E$ 500ft and terminate 20 feet below stake no 38 on the ditch known as the manu ditch.

They estimate the cost of constructing the work at two hundred and forty — dollars. They further report that they have estimated the benefits and injury to the

several tracts of land which will be affected by the work, as follows:

OWNERS.	DESCRIPTION.	S.	T.	R.	Acres.	Injury.	Benefit.
Ambras Peter	N ^E / ₄ S ^W / ₄ 26 20 3				40		80.00
Same	S ^E / ₄ S ^W / ₄ 26 20 3				40		20.00
Same	N ^W / ₄ S ^E / ₄ 26 20 3				40		10.00
Harriet and Eliza Robbins	S ⁵ / ₈ S ^W / ₄ N ^E / ₄ 26 20 3				25		50.00
Eli Ross	N ³ / ₈ S ^W / ₄ N ^E / ₄ 26 20 3				15		30.00
Perry M ^c Murtry	N ^W / ₂ S ^E / ₄ N ^W / ₄ 26 20 3				20		30.00
Same	S ^W / ₄ N ^W / ₄ 26 20 3				40		40.00
John Mann	E ¹ / ₂ S ^E / ₄ N ^W / ₄ 26 20 3				20		75.00
Wamier & Edith Hare	N ^W / ₄ S ^W / ₄ 26 20 3				40		60.00
Same	S ^W / ₄ S ^W / ₄ 26 20 3				40		20.00
Adams Township Highway	N ^W / ₃						
in center of E ¹ / ₂		26	20	3			10.00
Total Assessment							\$425.00

No. of Station.	Depth of Cut.	Width at Bottom.	Width at Top.	No. Cubic Yards.	Cost per Cubic Yard.	Cost per	Section.	No. of Station.	Depth of Cut.	Width at Bottom.	Width at Top.	No. Cubic Yards.	Cost per Cubic Yard.	Cost per	Section.
0	4.0	1ft			12 1/2										
1	4.4	1	9.8	31	"										
2	4.1	1	9.2	31	"										
3	4.7	1	10.4	33	"										
4	5.2	1	11.4	47	"										
5	5.3	1	11.6	59	"										
6	5.1	1	11.2	59	"										
7	6.2	1	13.4	56	"										
8	5.9	1	12.8	75	"										
9	5.7	1	12.4	71	"										
10	6.0	1	13.0	71	"										
11	5.7	1	12.4	74	"										
12	5.6	1	12.2	76	"										
13	5.6	1	12.2	76	"										
14	5.2	1	11.2	68	"										
15	5.2	1	11.2	59	"										
16	5.2	1	11.4	119	"										
17	5.2	1	11.4	54	"										
18	5.0	1	11.0	50	"										
19	5.1	1	11.2	45	"										
20	5.2	1	11.4	40	"										
21	4.7	1	10.4	40	"										
22	5.1	1	11.2	37	"										
23	5.6	1	12.2	43	"										
24	4.6	1	10.2	40	"										
25	5.4	1	11.8	40	"										
26	5.5	1	12.0	40	"										
27	5.9	1	12.8	42	"										
28	5.4	1	11.8	44	"										

Total number of cu yards to run 152.
 Cost of removal @ 12 1/2¢ \$ 190.00
 Grub & clear 50.00
 Total Cost \$ 240.00

They further specify that the banks of said ditch are to be cut smooth and of uniform slope and the earth excavated therefrom be deposited not nearer than two feet from the top of the banks and slope back and away from the ditch. All work to be done in a neat and workmanlike manner.

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

All of which is respectfully submitted.

S. B. Wells
Geo. W. Cunningham
D. F. Cunningham

Commissioners.