

**Source Document Log**  
**Source Document Discrepancy Log (Over)**

Drain: JC RIDGER

#	Main/Arm Name	Document Name	Document ID	Info From Document
1	JC RIDGER	VIEWERS' REPORT	1-30-1903	
		WORK ORDER	WO-86-054	BREATHER
		WORK ORDER	WO-2000-00034	BREATHERS

Drain: JK RIDGER Drain #: 40  
Improvement/Arm: \_\_\_\_\_  
Operator: J. LIVINGSTON Date: 5-28-04  
Drain Classification: Urban ~~Rural~~ Year Installed: 1903

### GIS Drain Input Checklist

- Pull Source Documents for Scanning
- Digitize & Attribute Tile Drains
- Digitize & Attribute Storm Drains
- Digitize & Attribute SSD
- Digitize & Attribute Open Ditch
- Stamp Plans
- Sum drain lengths & Validate
- Enter Improvements into Posse
- Enter Drain Age into Posse
- Sum drain length for Watershed in Posse
- Check Database entries for errors

*[Handwritten signature]*  
*[Handwritten signature]*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
*[Handwritten signature]*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
*[Handwritten signature]*  
*[Handwritten signature]*  
*[Handwritten signature]*

**Gasb 34 Footages for Historical Cost  
Drain Length Log**

Drain-Improvement: JC RIDGER

Drain Type:	Size:	Length	Length (DB Query)	Length Reconcile	If Applicable	
					Price:	Cost:
TILE	6"	986'	986'		1. <sup>00</sup> /lf	986. <sup>00</sup>
	12"	1647'	1647'		4. <sup>00</sup> /lf	6588. <sup>00</sup>
	16"	1184'	1184'		5. <sup>50</sup> /lf	6512. <sup>00</sup>
	18"	8'	8'		6. <sup>50</sup> /lf	52. <sup>00</sup>
TILE-ARM 1	8"	475'	475'		2. <sup>00</sup> /lf	950. <sup>00</sup>
	10"	630'	630'		3. <sup>00</sup> /lf	1890. <sup>00</sup>
TILE-ARM 2	6"	934'	934'		1. <sup>00</sup> /lf	934. <sup>00</sup>

Sum: 5864' 5864' \$17912.<sup>00</sup>

Final Report: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

IN THE MATTER OF

*J. C. King*  
*Ditch*

*Approved March 1893.*

*By Board of Commissioners*  
*Transmitted to Thos. Hussey,*  
*P. B.*

Report of Ditch Viewers.  
COMMISSIONERS' COURT.

Filed **FILED** , 1

JAN 1893

*James P. M.* Auditor.

Wm. B. Burford, Printer, Indianapolis. 500-2-25-99.  
Hamilton Co.

14-211.

To the Honorable Board of Commissioners of *Hamilton* County, Indiana:

We, the undersigned, appointed by this Court, at its *November* Term, 1902, to view a drain described in the certified copy of a petition and order of Court for a *ditch*, by *J. C. Ringer* and others, handed us by the Auditor, would represent that according to said order we, on the day named therein, to wit: The *13th* day of *November*, 1902, in company with *J. D. Hammond*, a civil engineer, made an accurate survey of the line of said proposed *ditch*, from its source to its outlet. A plat of said *ditch* is filed herewith, including the survey by the engineer, marked "Exhibit B." We caused stakes to be set along said line, each one hundred feet, numbering down stream, as shown by plat. We also made a computation of the total number of cubic yards of earth to be removed from said drain, and estimated the total cost for the construction of the work at *eight hundred ninety six <sup>54</sup>/<sub>100</sub>* Dollars. We also apportioned and set apart to each parcel of land a share of said work in proportion to the benefits received, all of which will fully appear by a tabular statement in this report. We have also set out, by a statement below, the names of the owners of lands benefited, with a description of each tract of land, and the amount assessed against each tract. The depth of cut, the width at bottom, and width at top along said drain, is also shown in a tabular statement in this report. After a full and careful view and examination, we are of the opinion that the drain, as located by the survey, will be of public utility and a benefit to three public highways, and that the cost of the construction of the same will be less than the benefits to be derived therefrom and that the best method to accomplish said drainage is by a tile drain consisting of a main ditch and two *urns* as described on Page 3 of this report.

The following statement shows who are benefited, the lands thereof and assessments thereon, location, length of each share, amount of assessments, amount of benefits, amount of dirt to be removed from each share, price thereof per cubic yard, and total cost of each share:

NAMES OF OWNERS.	DESCRIPTION OF LANDS.	Section.	Township.	Range.	Acres Assessed.	H <sup>ts</sup> Assessed.	Acres Benefitted.	H <sup>ts</sup> Benefitted.	Amount		From Station.	To Station.	Feet Long.	Cubic Yards to be Removed	Price per Cubic Yard.	Cost of Construction of Share.
									of Benefits.	of Assessments.						
<i>John C. Ringer</i>	<i>PT SW NE 1/4 NE 1/4</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>30</i>				<i>141 30</i>	<i>330 00</i>						<i>325 61</i>
<i>Same</i>	<i>PT E 1/2 SE</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>40</i>				<i>188 70</i>							
<i>Elija Thustefwaite</i>	<i>PT SW SW</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>30</i>				<i>127 50</i>	<i>212 50</i>						<i>209 68</i>
	<i>PT W SW</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>20</i>				<i>85 00</i>							
<i>Wm Harrison</i>	<i>PT W 1/2 SW</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>18</i>				<i>28 00</i>	<i>28 00</i>						<i>27 63</i>
<i>Carey Linton</i>	<i>PT NE 1/4 E 1/2</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>32</i>				<i>48</i>	<i>52 00</i>						<i>57 31</i>
<i>Same</i>	<i>PT SW E 1/2</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>				<i>4</i>							
<i>Addie M Stewart</i>	<i>PT SW NE</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>				<i>4 00</i>	<i>4 00</i>						<i>3 95</i>
<i>J. H. Stagg</i>	<i>PT NE NE</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>1</i>				<i>1 00</i>	<i>1 00</i>						<i>99</i>
<i>Charles W Griffin</i>	<i>PT SW SE</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>25</i>				<i>50</i>	<i>121 50</i>						<i>119 89</i>
	<i>PT SW SE 1/4 NE 1/4 SE</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>35 75</i>				<i>71 50</i>							
<i>David F Hutchens &amp; Wf.</i>	<i>PT E SW</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>16</i>				<i>16</i>	<i>33 75</i>						<i>33 30</i>
	<i>" SW SE</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>15</i>				<i>15</i>							
	<i>" SW NE</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>2 75</i>				<i>2 75</i>							
<i>Sarah Hutchens</i>	<i>PT 1/2 SE SW</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>10</i>				<i>10 00</i>	<i>20 25</i>						<i>19 98</i>
	<i>PT SE SW</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>20 50</i>				<i>10 25</i>							
<i>Levi Michael</i>	<i>PT SW SW</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>2</i>				<i>1 00</i>	<i>1 50</i>						<i>99</i>
<i>Chicago Ind &amp; Lenoire RR.</i>	<i>Right of way thro NE</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>3</i>					<i>25 00</i>	<i>25 00</i>						<i>24 67</i>

TABULAR STATEMENT OF ASSESSMENTS, BENEFITS, ETC.

TABULAR STATEMENT OF STATIONS, WIDTH, DEPTH, LENGTH, ETC.

NAMES OF OWNERS.	DESCRIPTION OF LANDS.	Section.	Township.	Range.	Acres Assessed.	Acres Assessed.	Acres Benefited.	If the Benefited.	Amount of Benefits.	Amount of Assessments.	From Station.	To Station.	Feet Long.	Cubic Yards to be Removed.	Price per Cubic Yard.	Cost of Construction of Share.	STATIONS.	WIDTH AT TOP.		DEPTH OF CUT.		WIDTH AT BOTTOM.		WIDTH OF CLEARING OR TIMBER.
																		Feet.	Inches.	Feet.	Tenths.	Feet.	Inches.	
Claws Township	Highways La Fayette Road thro N 7 3 bet E W bet No 34	34	19	3					75 <sup>00</sup>							74 <sup>00</sup>								

By the construction of a ditch beginning at a point 50 1/2 rods east of the southwest corner of the northeast quarter of section four Township 19 north Range three east 2nd Pecos Meridian run thence S 31° E 123 feet, thence S 62° 45' E 863 feet, thence S 31° 30' 314 feet, thence S 55° E 735 feet, thence S 69° 30' E 317 feet, thence N 62 1/2° E 281 feet, thence N 35° E 1192 feet terminating in what is known as the Jay ditch at stake 43 on said Jay ditch.

Also an Arm No 1 Beginning 33 rods north and 27 rods west of the southeast corner of section 4 Township 19 north Range 3 east run thence N 21° E 171 ft, thence N 54 1/2° E 462 ft, thence N 17 1/2° E 472 feet and terminating on main ditch at stake 26+33 of Main Ditch.

Also Arm No 2. Beginning one rod south and one rod east of the southeast corner of the southeast quarter of section 4 Twp. 19 north, range three (3) east run thence N 3° E 934 feet and terminating on arm No 1 at stake 5+15 of said Arm No 1.

Requirements - Main Ditch shall consist of 1 row of 6" tile from stake 0 to stake 9+86; one row of 12" tile from stake 9+86 to stake 26+33 and one row of 16" from stake 26+33 to stake 38+17 and one row of 18" sewer tile from stake 38+17 to stake 38+25.

Arm No 1 shall consist of one row of 8" tile from stake 0 to stake 4+75 and one row of 10" tile from stake 4+75 to stake 11+5.

Arm No 2. shall be one row of 6" tile from stake 0 to stake 9+34.

GENERAL SPECIFICATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS.

The length of the <sup>Main</sup> ditch as located is	38 25'
The fall of the established grade is	2 1/100 feet, from Station 0 to Station 10 to each 100 feet.
	50 " " " 10 " " " "
	100 " " " 17 " " " "
	35 " " " 34 " " " "
	100 " " " 34 38+20 " " " "

The total fall is 11 3/100 feet to the entire ditch.

The length of arm No 1. - 1105 feet  
The fall of the established grade is 33/100 feet from 0 to terminus  
The length of arm No 2 is 934 feet.  
The fall of the established grade is 27/100 feet " 0 " " "

At stake 38+25 there shall be constructed a stone retaining wall 12' x 5' x 2' built from riggerheads laid up in mortar made from one part portland cement to three parts good sharp sand. so constructed as to receive the tile at the proper grade and at least 2 feet above the base of the wall. tile to be well cemented in the wall.

All tile used must be first class in every respect and well matched and laid in a true line at the proper grade and must be inspected and accepted by the County Surveyor before being covered. After being received the tile to be covered to a depth of not less than 24 inches over the top of tile where the ditch runs in an old open channel. and where the ditch is new cut the trench over tile to be filled full.

At stake 26+33 arm No 1 shall be connected to main ditch with a wye connection tile 16" x 12"

At stake 5+15 on arm No 1. Arm No 2 shall be connected by a wye connection tile 10" x 8"

Viewers { J. J. Drives  
J. W. Noble  
A. B. Good

We the viewers would further report that we are of the opinion that it is impracticable to allot said ditch for construction for the reasons that we believe that the work can be better done and done in a less time to let the work to one contractor in one contract and we would recommend that the Auditor sell the same in accordance with the law made and for in such cases

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this

30 day of Jan'y 1 1903

Auditor Samuel County.