





Drain: GREEN HARRIS **Drain #:** 8495
Improvement/Arm: _____
Operator: J. H. WILGUSTEN **Date:** 9-15-04
Drain Classification: Urban/Rural **Year Installed:** 1904


GIS Drain Input Checklist


- Pull Source Documents for Scanning
- Digitize & Attribute Tile Drains
- Digitize & Attribute Storm Drains
- Digitize & Attribute SSD
- Digitize & Attribute Open Ditch
- Stamp Plans
- Sum drain lengths & Validate
- Enter Improvements into Posse
- Enter Drain Age into Posse
- Sum drain length for Watershed in Posse
- Check Database entries for errors









**Gasb 34 Footages for Historical Cost
Drain Length Log**

Drain-Improvement: GREEN HARRIS

Drain Type:	Size:	Length	Length (DB Query)	Length Reconcile	If Applicable	
					Price:	Cost:
TILE	8"	1000'	1000'		2 ⁰⁰ / ₁₀	2000 ⁰⁰
	10"	2044'	2044'		3 ⁰⁰ / ₁₀	6132 ⁰⁰

Sum: 3044' 3044' \$8132⁰⁰

Final Report: _____

Comments:
MISTAKEN AS A PRIVATE ARM TO THE HENRY ALBRIGHT DRAIN
IN THE 1970S AND EARLY 1980S, AT WHICH TIME THE ALBRIGHT
WAS VACATED. BY LANDOWNERS' ACCOUNTS AT THAT TIME
THE GREEN HARRIS DRAIN EXISTS MUCH AS THE 1904 COMMISSIONER'S
REPORT DESCRIBES.

In the Matter of application }
 for Drain by Green Harris } No. 13615.
 et al }

Comes now the petitioners, ^{by} their attorney David J. McMath, and it appearing to the court from the records of this case that the drainage commissioners on the "day of November 1904 filed their report in open court as provided by law, it now being more than ten days since the filing of said report and no objection or remonstrance being filed thereto, the court now examines said report and being sufficiently advised in the premises, now finds for the petitioners that the report of said drainage commissioners should in all things be confirmed and the assessments made thereby approved.

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the court that the report of the drainage commissioners made herein, to wit,

The undersigned, Commissioners of Drainage, to whom was referred the petition of Green Harris et al for the drainage of the lands therein described in said petition a certified copy of which is hereto attached being lands in Adams Township, in Hamilton County, respectfully report that they have inspected personally all the lands described in said petition, and all other lands liable to be affected by the construction of the proposed work, and are of the opinion that the proposed drainage will be practicable. Second - That when accomplished it will improve the public health, benefit the public highway running East & West on Section line between Section 5 and 8 in Township 20 North, Range 3 East and that said improvement will be of public utility.

Third - That the costs, damages and expenses of constructing said drainage will be less than

36" Day. Hamilton Circuit Court, October 12th 1904. Term, 1904.

the benefits to owners of lands likely to be benefited thereby.

Fourth - That the best and cheapest method by which said drainage can be accomplished is by a tile drain beginning at a point 320 feet South of the N.W. corner of N.E. $\frac{1}{4}$ of the N.W. $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 8 - Twp. 20 - North Range 3 East; and run thence South 75° East 283 feet, thence North 70° East 764 feet thence South 58° East 400 feet, thence South 75° East 100 feet, thence East 379 feet thence North 80° East 441 feet, thence South 63° East 324 feet, terminating 12 rods East and 27 rods South of the N.W. corner of the N.E. $\frac{1}{4}$ of the N.E. $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 8, Twp. 20 North, Range 3 East, intersecting a public tile drain known as the Albright drain.

Said drain to consist of one row of 8" drain tile from station 0 to station 10, and one row of 10" drain tile from station 10 to station 30 + 44 or terminus.

At station 30 + 44 to be constructed a catch basin, dimensions 5 ft. high, by 3 ft. in diameter with 9" wall, to be constructed with first class hard burned brick, laid up in mortar made of one part Portland Cement to three parts good sharp sand and so constructed as to receive the tile at proper grade, at least 12" above base of wall and to be covered with concrete slab of sufficient size to completely cover said basin, to be made from one part Portland cement to five parts sand and gravel.

All tile used in the construction of said drain to be first class and to be laid in ditches on a true line at the broken grade, with a suit

well matched, and left uncovered until inspected and accepted by the drainage commissioner in charge of construction.

After being accepted the trenches or ditches to be filled; where ditch runs in old open channel, tile to be covered to a depth of 24 inches. All trees to be deadened for a distance of one rod on each side of ditch.

Fifth - We estimate the cost of constructing said work at \$408.⁰⁰/₁₀₀, (Four hundred fifty eight and ⁰⁰/₁₀₀ Dollars.

Sixth. We have divided said drain in Sections of 100 ft. in length, numbering from 0 to 30+44 from the commencement to the terminus thereof. The number of cubic yards of excavation in each of said stations respectfully as follows:

Profile Paper.

Exhibit B.

No. of Station	Depth of Cut	No. of Cubic Yards to be Removed.
0	422	
1	435	20
2	470	26
2+33	482	
3	479	27
4	559	30
5	522	32
6	545	30
7	549	32
8	517	30
9	498	29
B.M.	593	
10	322	24
11	461	23
12	558	29

36" Day. Hamilton Circuit Court,

November 12 1901
October Term, 1901

No. of Stations	Depth of Cut	No. of Cubic Yards to be Removed
13	5.76	82
14	5.41	81
15	5.76	82
+50	—	
16	5.55	82
B.M.	5.73	
+75	—	
17	5.29	81
18	5.42	80
+79	5.31	
19	5.51	82
20	5.88	82
21	5.60	83
22	5.66	82
23	5.79	81
24	5.89	80
25	5.50	29
26	5.37	80
27	5.41	80
+20	5.08	
B.M.	5.39	
28	4.08	27
29	4.98	24
30	4.71	28
30+44	4.90	19.

Estimated cost of construction.

892 cu. yds. Excavation - 20"	\$178.40
2044 ft. 10" drain tile	164.20
1100 ft. 8" drain tile	88.00
Catch basin 5'x3'x9" and cover	10.00
10 ft. 10" sewer tile	2.50
Laying tile, filling ditch and grubbing	40.52
Total \$458.88	

Proventis - We assess the benefits to tracts of land that will be affected by said work and belonging to parties named as follows:

WM. B. BURFORD, BLANK BOOK MFR. INDIANAPOLIS.

Owners	Description	Sec	Twp	R.	Acres	Benefits
Ellen Burton	Pt. N.W. N.W.	8	20	3	25	\$ 125 00
Mary E. Hines	Pt. N.E. N.W.	8	20	3	18	78 00
Green Harris	Pt. N.E. N.W.	8	20	3	10	90 08
Frank Glunt	Pt. N.W. N.E.	8	20	3	25	150 00
Jacob Bowers	Pt. N.E. N.E.	8	20	3	3	18 00
Wm. S. M. Murtry	Pt. N.W. N.E.	8	20	3	3	10 00
Henderson Coppock	Pt. S.W. S.E.	5	20	3	10	38 00
William Albertson	Pt. S.E. S.W.	5	20	3	8	28 00

Adams Township Benefit to
 East and West Public Highway
 on Section line between Sec. 8-20-3-66:00
 Total \$599.50

All of which is respectfully submitted

H. C. Mabrey }
 D. M. Haze } Commissioners
 H. S. Furdley }

State of Indiana, Hamilton County, ss:

We, the undersigned, Commissioners of Drainage, swear, that we have each personally examined each tract of land assessed for benefits and damages and the whole route of the proposed work, and that such drain is of sufficient capacity to carry, without overflowing, all the water which flows or should flow along such route; that no other lands than those described in this report will be either benefited or damaged by the construction of the proposed work, and that all the statements therein are true and correct, and that all assessments of benefits and injuries set forth therein are correct, just, fair and equitable to all parties concerned as we verily believe.

H. C. Mabrey }
 D. M. Haze } Commissioners
 H. S. Furdley }

Subscribed and sworn to by said Commissioners this 1st day of November 1904, before me, Chas. J. Wheeler,
 Clerk Circuit Court.

be and the same hereby is confirmed;

Continued on O. B. 46-

HENRY ALBRIGHT
DITCH
GEORGE GLUNT
258-5846

13 Dec 76

Had meeting with Mr Glunt in
this ditch.

Also talked with Henry D. Bowers
who Mr Glunt is purchasing land
from.

They pinpointed the location
of the drain end of a sump that
y's end runs ^{from the} west. At y there
is a J-Box, see location map.

Mr Glunt show me the outlet,
it is washed out and the tile
is lower ~~that~~ than the elev. of
silt in the Pease Dredge.

Main line is 2 rows of 10" tile
J-Box 300' S. of 286th on 20' to 30'
Est of West line of $E\frac{1}{2}$ of the $NE\frac{1}{4}$

13 DEC 76

RSC

Talked with G. Glunt & Bower.

EDWARD HARRELL
40A.

EDWARD HARRELL
80A.

BOYER

FRANKLIN J. ROCKEY

40' 80A.
40' Complaint

30'
30'

tile vgas close to
surface -

0A

12

13

THE SIA ROCKEY

60A.

30'
30'

Complained

12" CMP W/HEAD WALL

R

R.

LARUE WALLACE & WIFE
19A
758-4801

GEORGE GLUNT
21A
758-5876

20' 28A.
20'
20'


HENRY D. BOWER
AND WIFE

80A
20'
20'

DITCH

CHAS. GLUNT

R3E



N
 1" = 660'