

Drain: MARY HODICKS **Drain #:** 200
Improvement/Arm: _____
Operator: J. LIVINGSTON **Date:** 1-20-04
Drain Classification: Urban/Rural **Year Installed:** 1882

GIS Drain Input Checklist

- Pull Source Documents for Scanning JWJ
- Digitize & Attribute Tile Drains JWJ
- Digitize & Attribute Storm Drains _____
- Digitize & Attribute SSD _____
- Digitize & Attribute Open Ditch _____
- Stamp Plans _____
- Sum drain lengths & Validate JWJ
- Enter Improvements into Posse _____
- Enter Drain Age into Posse JWJ
- Sum drain length for Watershed in Posse JWJ
- Check Database entries for errors JWJ

9657
No 5250

Report of Commissioners.

FAVORABLE.

Mary Hodkin's
Ditch

Filed _____, 188

Clerk Circuit Court.

Wm. B. Burford, Printer, Indianapolis.

REPORT OF COMMISSIONERS.

11-17-1889

To the Honorable Judge of the Hamilton Circuit Court :

The undersigned, Commissioners of Drainage, to whom was referred the petition of Mary Hookins for the drainage of the North East quarter and the North West quarter of the South West quarter of section 26 Township 20 North Range 4 East

in Hamilton County, respectfully report that they have inspected personally all the lands described in said petition, and all other lands liable to be affected by the construction of the proposed work, and are of the opinion that the proposed drainage will improve the public health of that neighborhood, will benefit two public highways and will be of public utility in the county, and that the same can be accomplished at an expense less than the aggregate benefits to the lands to be affected thereby, and after causing the necessary surveys and examinations to be made they determined that the method of drainage should be as follows to wit:
See page 3 of this report

They estimate the cost of constructing the work at four hundred and thirty nine dollars. They further report that they have estimated the benefits and injury

to the several tracts of land which will be affected by the work, as follows:

| OWNERS. | DESCRIPTION. | S. | T. | R. | Acres. | Injury. | Benefit. |
|---|--|----|----|----|--------|---------|----------|
| Mary Hodgkins | N. W. 1/4 S. W. 1/4 | 26 | 20 | 4 | 40 | | \$160 |
| Mary Hodgkins | N. W. 1/4 S. W. 1/4 | 26 | 20 | 4 | 40 | | 115 |
| William Parter William Parter Elizabeth Hilgenburg | S. W. 1/4 N. W. 1/4 | 26 | 20 | 4 | 40 | | 35 |
| | 17 acres off of the South side of S. E. 1/4 N. W. 1/4 | 26 | 20 | 4 | 17 | | 25 |
| M. J. Kipp Nancy Berkeley | N. W. 1/4 S. E. 1/4 | 26 | 20 | 4 | 40 | | 75 |
| Thomas G. Funder | S. W. 1/4 S. E. 1/4 | 26 | 20 | 4 | 40 | | 100 |
| Jackson Township | Public highway running North and South through the center of | 26 | 20 | 4 | | | 75 |
| Jackson Township | Public highway running East and West through the center of the S. E. 1/4 | 26 | 20 | 4 | | | 20 |

All of which is respectfully submitted.

Commissioners.

By the construction of a ditch commencing
 24 rods North and 6 rods West of the South
 West corner of the North East quarter of the
 South West quarter of section 26 Township
 20 North Range 4 East in Hamilton County
 and running thence S 83° E 900 feet, thence
 N 86° E 500 feet, thence S 64½° E 260 feet, thence
 S 45° E 440 feet, thence S 32° E 100 feet, thence S 58° E
 340 feet, thence East 160 feet and terminating 20
 rods South and 58 rods East of the North West
 corner of the South West quarter of the South East
 quarter of section 26 Township 20 North Range
 4 East,

They further determined that said ditch
 should be filled with two tier of seven inch
 tile from the beginning to 1400 feet from
 said beginning and the remainder of said ditch
 should be filled with three tier of seven inch
 tile

The following is a tabular statement of the
 depths of cut and the widths at the bottoms
 and at the top of said ditch at the beginning
 and the terminus and at the end of each
 100 feet thereof and also the number of cubic
 yards of earth to be removed from each section
 of 100 feet, the cost per cubic yard of removing
 the same, the total number of cubic yards of
 earth to be removed and the total cost of
 removing the same.

| No of Stake at cut | Depth of cut | Width at bottom | Width at top | Length of section | No of cubic yards to be removed | Cost per cubic yard | Total cost |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---|------------------------------|---------------|
| 3 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 23.12 | 275 | | 275 |
| 4 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 22 | 11 | | 275 |
| 5 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 24 | 11 | \$2.50 | 300 |
| 6 | 4.9 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 24 | 11 | | 300 |
| | | | | | 42 | | \$525 |
| | | | | | 92 | | \$1150 |

STATE OF INDIANA, }
County, } ss:

We, the undersigned, Commissioners of Drainage, swear that we have each personally examined each tract of land assessed for benefits and damages and the whole route of the proposed work, and that such drain is of sufficient capacity to carry, without overflowing, all the water which flows or should flow along such route, that no other lands than those described in this report will be either benefited or damaged by the construction of the proposed work, and that all the statements therein are true and correct, and that all assessments of benefits and injuries set forth therein are correct, just, fair and equitable to all parties concerned, as we verily believe.

James Sanders
James A McMillan } Commissioners.

Subscribed and sworn to by said Commissioners, this 17 day
of November, 1882, before me.

J. R. Christian
Clerk Circuit Court.