

Drain: OF HEWLEY **Drain #:** 102
Improvement/Arm: _____
Operator: J. LIVINGSTON **Date:** 1-9-04
Drain Classification: Urban/Rural **Year Installed:** 1908

GIS Drain Input Checklist

- Pull Source Documents for Scanning JL
- Digitize & Attribute Tile Drains _____
- Digitize & Attribute Storm Drains _____
- Digitize & Attribute SSD _____
- Digitize & Attribute Open Ditch JL
- Stamp Plans _____
- Sum drain lengths & Validate JL
- Enter Improvements into Posse _____
- Enter Drain Age into Posse JL
- Sum drain length for Watershed in Posse JL
- Check Database entries for errors JL

of Hamilton County, Indiana, their petition in this behalf, in the words and figures following, to-wit:-

STATE OF INDIANA, HAMILTON COUNTY, SS:

BEFORE THE HONORABLE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
HAMILTON COUNTY, INDIANA.

IN THE MATTER OF THE

OLIVER F. HENLEY,

PETITION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF A DRAIN.

ET AL, DRAIN.

(Cleaning and Repairing.)

The undersigned, Oliver F. Henley, would respectfully represent and show to the Board, that he is the owner of the following described real estate in Hamilton County, State of Indiana, to-wit:- The east half of the south east quarter of section 21, township 18 north, range 3 east.

That said above described real estate would be benefited by the cleaning and repairing of a certain drain, hereinafter described more particularly, but that said drainage cannot, however, be accomplished in the best and cheapest manner without affecting the land of others.

Your petitioners would further represent and show that a proper work to accomplish such drainage will effect the following described real estate, and which said tracts are owned by the persons hereinafter named, to-wit:-

A part of the east half of the north west quarter of section 27, township 18 north, range 3 east, belonging to Lemuel Carey.

The west half of the north west quarter of section 27, township 18 north, range 3 east, belonging to Alonzo Sexton.

The east half of the south west quarter of section 21, township 18 north, range 3 east, belonging to D.H. Almond.

A part of the south half of the south west quarter of the north east quarter of section 27, township 18 north, range 3 east, belonging to Walter E. Day.

A part of the east half of the north east quarter of section 27, township 18 north, range 3 east, belonging to John R. Collins.

The south east quarter of the north west quarter of section 27, township 18 north, range 3 east, belonging to Martha C. Dixon.

A part of the south east quarter of the south west quarter of section 22, township 18 north, range 3 east, and also a part of the west half of the south west quarter of said section 22, township 18 north, range 3 east, belonging to W.H. Small.

The south east quarter of the south east quarter of section 27, township 18 north, range 3 east, belonging to Mary J. Haines.

A part of the north west quarter of the south west quarter; and also a part of the south west quarter of the south west quarter of section 22, township 18 north, range 3 east, belonging to Jno. A. Harvey.

A part of the west half of the north east quarter of section 27, township 18 north, range 3 east, and also a part of the north west quarter of the south west quarter of section 22, township 18 north, range 3 east, belonging to Nathan Harvey.

Your petitioners would further represent and show to the Board that a certain highway running north and south between sections 22 and 21 in township 18 north, range 3 east, and a certain highway running east and west between section 22 and 27, township 18 north, range 3 east, and the highway running east and west through the center of section 27, in township and range aforesaid, also the highway running north and south through the north half of section 27, said township and range, and also the highway running east and west through the center of section 21, township 18 north, range 3 east, will be benefited by said proposed drainage.

Your petitioners would further represent and show that they believe that the public health will be improved and benefited by the proposed drainage, by reason of the cleaning and repairing of said drain, and that said proposed work is of public utility.

Your petitioners would represent and show further that they believe that the proposed work can be accomplished in the best and cheapest manner by cleaning and repairing of the tile ditch now in place and by cleaning of the open portion of said ditch, that said ditch or drain commences at a point near the center of the east half of the south east quarter of section 21, township 18 north, range 3 east, and run thence in a southeasterly direction and terminating in an open drain near the east line of the north west quarter of the south east quarter of section 27, township 18 north, range 3 east.

Petitioners would further show that they believe that the costs damages and expenses of such cleaning and repairing of said drain will be less than the benefits which will result to the owners of the lands likely to be benefited thereby.

Wherefore your petitioners prays the Board that the drain or ditch may be established as provided by law.

O. F. Henley, Lemuel Carey, Nathan Harvey, Martha C. Dixon, Walter E. Day, W. H. Small, Mary J. Haines, J. C. Day, John A. Harvey, S. M. Davis, Elwood Henley, Alonzo Montgomery, D. H. Almond, A. Sexton.

STATE OF INDIANA, HAMILTON COUNTY, SS:

Oliver P. Henley, after being first duly sworn upon his oath says that he is one of the petitioners in the above entitled cause and that the statements and facts as set forth in the above entitled petition are true and correct as he verily believes.

Ollie Henley

Subscribed and sworn to before me this the 21st day of March, 1908.

Fred E. Hines

Notary Public.

My commission expires Jan., 5th, 1911.

IN THE MATTER OF

OLIVER P. HENLEY ET AL,

DRAIN.

PETITION FOR DITCH.

We, Oliver F. Henley and Wm. H. Small are bound unto the State of Indiana in the penal sum of One Hundred Dollars, for the payment of which we bind ourselves, our heirs, executors and administrators.

The condition of the above obligation is that whereas Oliver F. Henley et al, have filed a petition for a drain with the Auditor of Hamilton County. Now if the Board of Commissioners of Hamilton County fail to establish said drain, and the said Oliver F. Henley et al, will pay all costs and expenses incurred by the proceedings under said petition then this bond is void, else to remain in force.

Ollie Henley

W. H. Small

Approved by me this 21st day of March 1908.

N. W. Cowgill

Auditor of Hamilton County.

And afterwards, to-wit: on the same day the following proceedings were had.
 "Come now the petitioners by Fred E. Hines, their attorney, and files bond here in in the words and figures following, to-wit: (H.I.)

And the Auditor now approved said bond and fixes the 28th day of April, 1908, as the day for docketing said petition.

And afterwards, to-wit: on the 21st day of May 1908, the following proceedings were had before said Board, to-wit:-

"Come now the petitioners herein, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Board by indorsement on the petition that the docketin. of the petition was fixed for the 28th day of April, 1908.

And it further appearing to the Board by the affidavit of O.F. Henley and the proof of service of notice, which is as follows, to-wit: (H.I.) that the persons named in said petition whose lands will be affected by said drainage have each been duly served with notice of the filing of said petition and the time of docketing the same. It is therefore ordered by the Board that said petition be, and the same is hereby docketed, and placed on record as a cause pending herein.

And afterwards, to-wit: on the 21st day of May, 1908, the following proceeding were had, to-wit:-

IN THE MATTER OF

THE O. F. HENLEY

ET AL, DRAIN.

ENTRY.

Comes now the petitioners and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Board, by the affidavit of one Oliver F. Henley, that the parties mentioned in said petition have been duly served with notice of the filing and pendency of the petition for more than (20) days prior to the date of docketing said petition; and it appearing further that more than 20 days have elapsed since the docketing of said petition and there being no objections filed either to form of said petition, the serving of the drainage commissioners or to the construction of said drain, said petition is hereby approved, and the same referred to Hugh Johnson, County Surveyor, W.C. Clark, Drainage Commissioner and one Henry Cloe, Special Drainage Commissioner, who is a resident freeholder of Clay Township, wherein said drain is located, and not related to any of the parties likely to be affected or not interested in said drain, who is hereby ordered to take oath to faithfully preform his duties herein, and to otherwise

Monday, June 6, 1921.

IN RE PETITION OF
LUTHER O. WISE FOR
RELIEF FROM TAXES.

Comes now the petitioner by his attorneys and the Board of Commissioners being duly advised concerning the facts set forth in said petition filed April 23, 1921, it now finds against said petition and does hereby refuse to grant relief therein prayed for: and that ^{the} the said petitioner take nothing by his said petition.

All of which is ordered and adjudged by the Board of Commissioners.

IN REEOF THE PETITION OF
O. F. HENLEY ET AL FOR
REPAIRS OF O. F. HENLEY DRAIN.

Petition docketed and matter referred to J. S. Sharmon, Engineer, Silas Devaney, drainage and Harry Symons, Viewer. Said Engineer and Viewers are ordered to meet, view and make report on or before the 5th day of July, 1921.

Riley B. Thompson	Gr. Rd. Reprs.	1000.00
John Beck	Reprs Antrim Culvert	284.00
Daily Hudler	A. D. Booth	15.00
T. E. Ramsey	Little Eagle Bridge	818.00
H.L. Findley	Reps to Co. Line Bridge	1409.00
J. Frank Etchison	Dyers Creek	92.00
B. E. Bardue	Supt Rooker Rd.	72.00
J. Frank Etchison	Dyers Creek	408.00
Pickett & Jessup	John Concrete Rd.	3000.00
Noblesville H. L. & P. Col	Gr. Rd. Rep.	13.00
T. E. Ramsey & Co.	Final Est. Stanford Rd.	1850.00
E. C. Aldred	Supt Stanford Br. Rd.	57.00
W. H. Jessup & Son	Dipping Gravel	2000.00
Simon Sylvester	Gr. Rd. Rep	4.50
Noblesville H. L. & P. Co.	Gr. Rd. Rep.	1.20
Indiana Elevator Col	Refunded Taxes	939.58
Walter M. Harger	County Supt. Ex.	334.66
Laura Keiser	Assessing Wayne Tp.	54.00
H. B. Randolph	Co. Agn. Salary	142.08
Lester Roberys	Janitor Court House.	72.00
S. M. Carpenter	Stanford Gr. Rd. Supt.	8.00
M. A. Mosbaugh	County Assessors Salary	112.50
C. C. White	Clerical Work	100.00
B. C. Newby	Assessing White River	251.00
Byron Keiser	Assessing Wayne Tp.	264.00
A. E. Martz	Refunded Taxes	40.92
R. M. Hines	Supt County Farm Bldg.	140.00
Thos E. Setters	Bldg County Farm	3250.00
John L. Corbin	Treas. Office Rep.	12.55

Tuesday, June 7, 1921:

E. G. Gant	Rooker Concrete Road	3000.00
T. E. Ramsey	Brown Gr. Rd.	500.00
Jesse Gwinn	Dipping Gravel on J. O. Woddell	2000.00

IN THE MATTER OF APPRAISER
OF REAL ESTATE OF SCHOOL FUND
LOANS IN DELAWARE TOWNSHIP.

A vacancy having accrued in Delaware Township the Board does now appoint Nelson Wise, as appraiser of ^{real} estate upon which School Fund Loans made be hereafter made..

Pleas before the Honorable Board of Commissioners of Hamilton County, Indiana, at the regular terms of said Board held in the Court House in the City of Noblesville, Indiana, commencing on the 1st Monday of May and June, 1921.

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF
O. F. HENLEY ET AL TO REPAIR THE
O. F. HENLEY ET AL DRAIN, IN CLAY
TOWNSHIP, SAID COUNTY AND STATE.

Be it remembered that heretofore, to-wit: on the 23rd day of April, 1921, the petitioners by Fred E. Hines, their attorney, filed in the office of the Auditor of Hamilton county, Indiana, their petition in this behalf, as follows, to-wit:

STATE OF INDIANA, HAMILTON COUNTY, SS:

BEFORE THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
OF HAMILTON COUNTY, INDIANA.

In the matter of the petition)
of Ollie F. Henley et al to)
Repair the Ollie F. Henley et) Petition to Repair.
al Drain, in Clay Township.)

The undersigned petitioners would respectfully represent and show that they are the owners of certain tracts of real estate situated in the County of Hamilton, State of Indiana, thereafter more particularly described.

That said real estate would be benefited by the repair of the O. F. Henley et al drain, in Clay Township, said County and State, but that said repairs cannot, however, be accomplished in the best and cheapest manner without affecting the lands of other persons.

Your petitioners would further show that a proper work to accomplish such repairs will effect the following described tracts of land within said county and state, which said tracts are owned by the persons hereinafter named, to-wit:-

Part of the east half of the southeast quarter of section 21, township 18 north, range 3 east, belonging to Oliver F. Henley.

Part of the southwest quarter of section 22, township 18 north, range 3 east, belonging to William H. Small.

Part of the east half of the northwest quarter of section 27, township 18 north, range 3 east, belonging to ~~Wm~~ Almond et al.

And afterwards, to-wit on the same day the following proceedings were had in said cause.
Comennow the petitioners and file their bonds, which said bond is in the following words and figures, to-wit:

IN THE MATTER OF PETITION OF O. F. HENLEY)
ET AL FOR REPAIR OF O. F. HENLEY DRAIN.) PETITION FOR DRAIN.

We, Oliver F. Henley, W. H. Small and B. C. Perisho are boudd unto the State of Indiana in the penal sum of One Hundred dollars, for the payment of which we bind ourselves, our heirs executors and administrators.

The condition of the above obligation is that whereas, Oliver F. Henley et al have filed a petition for a drain with the Auditor of Hamilton County, Now if the Board of Commissioners of Hamilton County fail to establish said drain and the said Oliver F. Henley et al will pay all costs and expenses incurred by the proceedings under said petition then this bond is void, else to remain in force.

Oliver F. Henley,
W. H. Small
B. C. Perisho.

Approved by me this 6th day of June, 1921.

H. O. Cottingham,
Auditor of Hamilton County.

And the Auditor having examined said bond now approves the same and fixes the 19th day of May, 1921, as the day for docketing said petition.

And afterwards, to-wit: on the 13th day of June, 1921, the following proceedings were had before said Board, to-wit:

"Come now said petitioners herein and it appears to the satisfaction of the Board by indorsement on the petition that the docketing of the petition was fixed for the 19th day of May, 1921, and it further appearing by the affidavit of O. F. Henley, one of the petitioners herein, that each and all of the parties named in said petition, who did not sign the same, to-wit; A. V. Almond, Claude Sexton et al, Arland Harvey, George W. Hinshaw, Charles F. Roberts, Robert H. Collins, Alpharetta Hinshaw, John C. Hinshaw, Ella B. Henley, Thomas A. Painter, David H. Almond, John A. Harvey, James T. Hinshaw, Est., C. N. Pfaff, and wife, Ralph Artman, and wife, Frank H. Small, M. F. Conrad Heirs, S. A. Hinshaw Trustee of Clay Township, have each and all been served with notice of the filing and docketing of said petition for more than twenty days prior to the 18th day of May, 1921, the day fixed by the Auditor of said county for the docketing of said petition which said affidavit and proof of services of notice is in the following words and figures to-wit: (H.I.), and the Board being further advised in the premises finds that each and all of the parties above named, being all of the parties mentioned in said petition, who did not sign the same, have been duly served with notice for more than 20 days prior to the date of docketing said petition; and it also appearing to the satisfaction of the Board that more than 20 days have elapsed since the docketing of said petition excluding Sundays, and no remonstrance or objection be filed said petition is now referred to James S. Shannon, County Surveyor, Silar Devaney, Drainage Commissioner, and C. N. Williams, Special Drainage Commissioner, who is a resident free-holder of Clay Township, wherein said drain is located, and not related to any of the parties likely to be effected or interested in the drain, and who is hereby ordered to take oath to faithfully perform his duties herein and to otherwise qualify as provided by law; and the Drainage Commissioners are hereby further ordered to meet at or near said proposed drain on the 23rd day of June, 1921, and proceed to view the lands likely to be effected thereby and make

Monday, July 5, 1921.

STATE OF INDIANA, HAMILTON COUNTY, SS:

BEFORE THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS.

IN THE MATTER OF THE O. F.

HENLEY ET AL PETITION FOR

THE REPAIR OF THE HENLEY

ET AL DRAIN.

Comes now James S. Shannon, county Surveyor, and the drainage commissioners heretofore appointed in the above entitled cause, and moves the Board for additional time within which to make their report in said matter, and asks that they be given until the 2nd day of August, 1921, the same being the second day of the regular August term of said Board.

J. S. Shannon,

County Surveyor.

Read, signed and approved in open Court.

And Court does now adjourn until 10:00 to-morrow morning.

COMMISSIONERS

L. J. Symons
L. A. Studer
L. P. Century

January 3rd. 1923.

M. O. Phillips	Gravel Road Repair	18.25
A. B. Good	Gravel Road Repair	52.00
J. O. Spear	" " "	76.75
A. A. Roberts	" " "	20.25
W. C. Moore	" " "	32.50
Jas. J. Sloops	" " "	25.65
John Rupe	" " "	21.17
Walter E. Wheeler	" " "	18.40
R. A. Horney	" " "	44.65
Byron C. Perisho	" " "	21.65
E. E. Stanbrough	" " "	15.22
Fred N. Farger	" " "	76.30
C. I. Jones	" " "	17.50
Martin Hoover	" " "	171.95
A. L. Pursel	" " "	414.75
A. N. Gardner	" " "	86.25
Frank Miller	" " "	1155.55
T. E. Millikan	" " "	30.25
C. A. Burk	" " "	60.75
G. W. Deppen	" " "	17.50

IN THE MATTER OF THE
 OL F. HENLEY DRAIN PETITION
 FOR REPAIRS.

Comes now the Viewers and Engineer in the matter of said ditch repairs and submits their report which said report is in the following words and figures to-wit:

STATE OF INDIANA
 HAMILTON COUNTY. SS. IN COMMISSIONERS COURT.

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF
 OLLIE F. HENLEY, FOR REPAIR OF
 O. F. HENLEY, DRAIN.

REPORT OF DRAINAGE COMMISSIONERS AND ENGINEER.

To the honorable Board of Commissioners of Hamilton County, Indiana.

We, the undersigned commissioners of Drainage and the Engineer to whom was referred the above entitled petition for repair of the O. F. Henley, Drain; would respectfully report that we have made personal inspection of all lands, likely to be affected by said proposed work and are of the opinion that, the costs and expenses of effecting the drainage as petitioned for will be greater than the benefits to the owners of the lands likely to be assessed for said work.

We claim for our services in said cause the following.

Silas Devaney,	Drainage Comm, 1921.	\$ 4.30
Henry Gwinn	" " 1922	5.00
C. N. Williams	Viewer	5.00
J. S. Shannon	Eng. Helpers & Exp.	16.00
Total		28.30

Respectfully submitted this 30th day of Dec. 1922.

Henry Gwinn, Drainage Comm.
 C. N. Williams, Viewer.
 J. S. Shannon, Engineer.

Subscribed and sworn before me this 30th day of Dec.

C. C. White, Auditor Hamilton County.

600⁰⁰

THE GLOBE-WERNICKE LEG/L WRAPPER - Patented Feb. 11, 1890 - FIRST QUALITY.

Directions - Elevate the metal points - at the incut points - then bend the points down flat - dampen the papers and hide the metal. - gummed flap and stick it down - this fastens the papers and hides the metal.

REPORT OF

DRAINAGE COMMISSIONERS

IN THE MATTER OF THE

OLLIE F. HENLEY ET AL,

DRAIN.

Reports of works
and all kindred
constructions
H. W. Metcher P. B.

Hugh Johnson
Engineer

1900

W. H. Conyers

1900

45397

See compare
me
me

STATE OF INDIANA,
SS:
HAMILTON COUNTY,

IN THE MATTER OF THE
OLIVER F. HENLEY ET AL, DRAIN.

REPORT OF DRAINAGE COMMISSIONERS AND ENGINEER.

TO THE HONORABLE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS, HAMILTON COUNTY, INDIANA.

The undersigned Commissioners of Drainage, to whom was referred the above entitled petition for the drainage of certain lands in Clay and Washington Townships, Hamilton County, Indiana, would respectfully represent and show to the Board that as directed by the order and mandate of said Board they hereby submit this their report.

That as shown by said report they have definitely determined the best and cheapest method of draining said lands; they have fixed the route, location and character of the proposed work and fixed the same by metes and bounds, courses, distances and descriptions, grades and bench marks so as to provide for the complete drainage of the lands to be effected by the proposed work. That they have divided the ditch or drain into sections, each not more than one hundred feet in length that they have computed the and set out the number of cubic yards of excavation in each station and they have assessed the benefits and damages as the case may be, to each separate tract of land and to the proper township where highways were benefited; that they have fixed the beginning and outlet of said drain or ditch so as to secure the best results; that they have run the line of said ditch so as to secure the best results and cause the least possible injury to the lands effected and so as to benefit the public highways; that said drain when completed will be practicable; that the ~~public~~ public health will be improved; that a number of public highways will be benefited; that the ditch will be of public utility and that the cost, damages and expenses of completing the work will be less than the benefits to the owners of the lands likely to be assessed for the construction.

LOCATION.

Beginning at a point 530 feet north and 165 feet east of the SW corner of Section 22, Township 18 North, Range 3 East and run thence S81°E 200 feet, thence N64°E 200 feet, thence S54°E 200 feet, thence S5°E 157 feet, thence S50°E 243 feet, thence S55°E 300 feet, thence S24°E 167 feet, thence S60°E 133 feet, thence S75°E 600 feet, Thence S87°E 350 feet, thence S73½°E 250 feet, thence S60°E 400 feet, thence S69°E 460 feet, thence S34°E 140 feet, thence S2°W 744 feet, Thence S78°E 354 feet, thence S30°E 600 feet, thence S3°E 560 feet to terminus. Total length of ditch 6160 feet.

REQUIREMENTS.-

Ditch to consist of two rows of 10 inch tile from station 0 to station 0+10 and two rows of 10 inch sewer tile from station 0+10 to station 0+20 and a stone wall 24ft. by 5½ ft. by 2 ft. and an open drain from station 0+20 to station 61+60 or terminus. Said open drain to be 2 feet wide in the bottom with side slopes of 45° or 1 to 1. All bushes and trees shall be cut and removed for a distance of 5 feet on each side of the ditch and all earth excavated shall be deposited not nearer than two (2) feet from the break of the banks. The line of the ditch shall follow the line of stakes as set by the Engineer and where the ditch follows the old channel the same shall be straightened so as to make a true line. All work to be done in a first class and workmanlike manner and to the full satisfaction of the Superintendent of Construction.

RETAINING WALL.

At station 0+20 to be constructed a stone retaining wall, dimensions of same to be 24'X 5½'X 2' and built to conform to the plans hereto attached and made a part of this report. Wall to be built of field rock laid up in mortar made of one part Portland cement to three parts clean, sharp sand and so constructed as to receive the tile at proper grade 18 inches above the base of the wall.

F I L L I N G O N T I L E .

Contractor will be required to make fill over the tile portion of the ditch. Said fill to be 24 inches over tile.

G E N E R A L S P E C I F I C A T I O N S .

Total length of ditch,-----6160 feet.
Length of tile portion,----- 20 feet.
Length of open portion,-----6140 feet.
Feet of 10 inch drain tile,----- 20 feet.
Feet of 10 inch sewer tile,----- 20 feet.

F A L L O F E S T A B L I S H E D G R A D E .

49/100 ft. fall to each 100 feet from station 0 to station 30.
45/100 ft. fall to each 100 feet from station 30 to station 61+60.

E S T I M A T E D C O S T .

2841 cu. yds. excavation,-----\$710.00
20 feet of 10 inch sewer tile,-----\$ 7.50
20 feet of 10 inch drain tile,-----\$ 1.35
Stone retaining wall at station 0+20 -----\$ 25.00
Filling over tile,-----\$ 5.00
Total,-----\$748.85

O.F.Henley wt al, Ditch.

No.	NAMES.	DESCRIPTION OF LANDS.	Section	Tp.	Range	Acres Assessed		Acres Benefited		Amt. of Assessm't		Totals	
						A	Hun.	A	Hun.	\$	Cts.	\$	Cts.
1	O.F.Henley	NE	SE	2118	3	40							
	Do.	Pt.SE	SE	2118	3	32						83	00
2	W.H.Small	Pt.SW	SW	2218	3	35							
	Do.	SE	SW	2218	3	40							
	Do.	Pt.NW	SW	2218	3	5						102	00
3	<i>c 71 Myers</i> Alonzo Sexton	Pt.NW	NW	2718	3	20						31	00
4	Lemuel Carey	NE	NW	2718	3	40						58	50
5	<i>Laura J Lee</i> Martha Dixon	SE	NW	2718	3	22						22	60
6	<i>Charles H Roberts</i> Walter Day	Pt.SW	NE	2718	3	20						23	25
7	Mary J.Haines	Pt.NW	SE	2718	3	5						5	10
8	Nathan Harvey	Pt.SW	NE	2718	3	20						102	50
	Do.	NW	NE	2718	3	40							
	(See description below)												
9	John R.Collins	Pt.E $\frac{1}{2}$	NE	2718	3	28						28	40
10	Stephen Hinshaw	Pt.SW	SE	2218	3	30						23	20
11	J.C.Hinshaw	Pt.NE	SW	2218	3	2						1	54
12	John A.Harvey	Pt.W $\frac{1}{2}$	SW	2218	3	10						11	60
13	Nathan Harvey	Pt.NW	SW	2218	3	25						(See above)	
14	<i>Cleo R Morris</i> Elwood Henley	Pt.S $\frac{1}{2}$ &Pt.W $\frac{1}{2}$	NE	2118	3	50						38	50
15	Soloman Cruse	Pt.SE	NW	2118	3	20							
	Do.	Pt.NE	NW	2118	3	39							
	Do.	Pt.SE	SW	1888	3	25						80	50
16	John Sluder	Pt. NE	SW	1618	3	8						4	60

No.	NAMES.	DESCRIPTION OF LANDS.	Section	Tp.	Range	Acres Assessed		Acres Benefited		Amt. of Assessm't		Totals	
						A	Hun	A	Hun	\$	Cts.	\$	Cts.
17	M.F. Conard ✓	Pt. W $\frac{1}{2}$	NW	16	18	3	10						
	Do.	Pt. E $\frac{1}{2}$	NE	17	18	3	15					12	60
18	J.W. Brendle ✓	Pt. E $\frac{1}{2}$	SE	17	18	3	25						
	Do.	SW	SW	16	18	3	40					25	00
19	Thomas A. Painter ✓	Pt. W $\frac{1}{2}$	NW	21	18	3	32					24	75
20	Simon M. Davis ✓	Pt. NW	SW	16	18	3	30					30	50
21	<i>av Almond</i> Alonzo Montgomery ✓	Pt. SE	NW	21	18	3	17					17	30
22	D.H. Almond ✓	Pt. NW	SE	21	18	3	34					46	9
	Do.	Pt. SW	SE	21	18	3	5						
	Do.	Pt. NE	SW	21	18	3	7						
23	Cyrus Chance ✓	Pt. SW	SW	22	18	3	1					1	20
24	Day James Day ✓	Pt. SW	SW	22	18	3	2 $\frac{1}{2}$					3	86
25	Clay Township ✓	Highway E&W thru cent	27	18	3							50	00
		" N&S " N $\frac{1}{2}$	27	18	3								
		" E&W betw. 22/27	18	3									
		" N&S " 22/21	18	3									
		" E&W thru cent	21	18	3								
26	Washington Township ✓	Highway N&S betw 16&18	18	3									
	Do.	" E&W thru cent	16/17	18	3								
	Do.	" E&W betw, 16/17&20/21	18	3								30	00

PROFILE PAPER.

No. of Station.	Depth of Cut.	Width on Top.	Width in Bottom.	No. of Cubic Yards to be Removed.	Price per Cubic Yard.	Total Cost per Station.
0	100					
1	394			15		
2	299			21		
3	334			26		
4	383			34		
5	387			27		
6	414					
7	443			51		
8	370			55		
9	494			65		
10	454			73		
11	436			75		
12	391			65		
13	367			67		
14	398			82		
15	461			60		
16	436			121		
17	407			96		
18	436			96		
19	435			102		
20	393			94		
21	438			95		
22	421			101		
23	448			102		
24	395			96		
25	407			90		
26	275			68		
27	345			69		
28	234			53		
29	308			46		
30	253			141		
31	351			55		

PROFILE PAPER.

No. of Station.	Depth of Cut.	Width on Top.	Width in Bottom.	No. of Cubic Yards to be Removed.	Price per Cubic Yard.	Total Cost per Station.
32	416			55		
33	359			85		
34	378			79		
35	337			74		
36	214			48		
37	326			21		
38	318			35		
39	331			9		
40	366			9		
41	464			27		
42	276			29		
43	329			12		
44	329			15		
45	315			18		
46	418			20		
47	375			18		
48	347			12		
49	335			10		
50	393			10		
51	440			10		
52	299			12		
53	409			11		
54	226			9		
55	543			7		
56	376			17		
57	432			14		
58	374			8		
59	411			4		
60	283			4		
61	155			4		
1462	201			24		

STATE OF INDIANA, HAMILTON COUNTY, SS:

We, the undersigned Drainage Commissioners of Hamilton County, Indiana, to whom was referred the above entitled petition for report after being each duly sworn upon his oath says, that we have each personally examined each tract of land as above set out in this report and that we have examined the whole line and route of the proposed drain and that no other lands than that above described will be benefited or injured by said drain, and that the above report is true in substance and in fact as we each verily believe.

W. C. Clark

Henry Cole

Viewers.

Walter Johnson

Engineer & Comm'r.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 29th. day of May, 1908.

N. W. Carnegie

Auditor of Hamilton County.

OR
BIC 18

And afterwards, to-wit: on the same day the following proceedings were had.
" Come now the petitioners by Fred E. Hines, their attorney, and files bond here in in the words and figures following, to-wit: (H.I.)

And the Auditor now approved said bond and fixes the 28th day of April, 1908, as the day for docketing said petition.

And afterwards, to-wit: on the 21st day of May 1908, the following proceedings were had before said Board, to-wit:-

"Come now the petitioners herein, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Board by indorsement on the petition that the docketing of the petition was fixed for the 28th day of April, 1908.

And it further appearing to the Board by the affidavit of O.F. Henley and the proof of service of notice, which is as follows, to-wit: (H.I.) that the persons named in said petition whose lands will be affected by said drainage have each been duly served with notice of the filing of said petition and the time of docketing the same. It is therefore ordered by the Board that said petition be, and the same is hereby docketed, and placed on record as a cause pending herein.

~~And afterwards, to-wit: on the 21st day of May, 1908, the following proceedings were had, to-wit:-~~

IN THE MATTER OF
THE O.F. HENLEY
ET AL, DRAIN.

ENTRY.

Comes now the petitioners and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Board, by the affidavit of one Oliver F. Henley, that the parties mentioned in said petition have been duly served with notice of the filing and pendency of the petition for more than (20) days prior to the date of docketing said petition: and it appearing further that more than 20 days have elapsed since the docketing of said petition and there being no objections filed either to form of said petition, the serving of the drainage commissioners or to the construction of said drain, said petition is hereby approved, and the same referred to Hugh Johnson, County Surveyor, W.C. Clark, Drainage Commissioner and one Henry Cloe, Special Drainage Commissioner, who is a resident freeholder of Clay Township, wherein said drain is located, and not related to any of the parties likely to be affected or not interested in said drain, who is hereby ordered to take oath to faithfully perform his duties herein, and to otherwise

JUNE TERM

THURS JUNE 11th 1908

practicable; that the public health will be improved; that a number of public highways will be benefited; that the ditch will be of public utility and that the cost, damages and expenses of completing the work will be less than the benefits to the owners of the lands likely to be assessed for the construction.

LOCATION.

Beginning at a point 530 feet north and 165 feet east of the SW corner of section 22, township 18 north, range 3 East, and run thence S 81° E 200 feet, thence N 64° E 200 feet, thence south 54° E 200 feet, thence S 5° E 157 feet, thence S 50° E 243 feet, thence S 55° E 300 feet, thence S 24° E 167 feet, thence S 60° E 133 feet, thence S 75° E 600 feet, thence S 87° E 460 feet, thence S 73½° E 250 feet, thence S 60° E 400 feet, thence S 69° E 460 feet, thence S 34° E 140 feet, thence S 2° W 744 feet, thence S 78° E 354 feet, thence S 30° E 600 feet, thence S 3° E 560 feet to terminus. Total length of ditch 6160 feet.

REQUIREMENTS.

Ditch to consist of two rows of 10 inch tile from station 0 to station 0 plus 10, and two rows of ten inch sewer tile from station 0 plus 10 to station 0 plus 20 and a stone retaining wall 24 ft by 5½ ft by 2 ft and an open drain from station 0 plus 20 to station 61 plus 60 or terminus. Said open drain to be 2 feet wide in the bottom with side slopes of 45° or 1 to 1. All bushes and trees shall be cut and removed for a distance of 5 feet on each side of the ditch and all earth excavated shall be placed not nearer than 2 feet from the break of the banks. The line of the ditch shall follow the line of stakes as set by the Engineer and where the ditch follows the old channel the same shall be straightened so as to make a true line. All work to be done in a first class and workmanlike manner and to the full satisfaction of the Superintendent of Construction

RETAINING WALL.

At station 0 plus 20 to be constructed a stone retaining wall, dimensions of the same to be 24' X 5' X 2' and built to conform to the plans

hereto attached and that a new open drain shall be constructed from station 0 plus 20 to station 61 plus 60 or terminus.