

Drain: Thomas Fouch **Drain #:** 187
Improvement/Arm: _____
Operator: J. LIVINGSTON **Date:** 12-24-03
Drain Classification: Urban/Rural **Year Installed:** 1884

GIS Drain Input Checklist

- Pull Source Documents for Scanning JLF
- Digitize & Attribute Tile Drains JLF
- Digitize & Attribute Storm Drains _____
- Digitize & Attribute SSD _____
- Digitize & Attribute Open Ditch JLF
- Stamp Plans _____
- Sum drain lengths & Validate JLF
- Enter Improvements into Posse _____
- Enter Drain Age into Posse JLF
- Sum drain length for Watershed in Posse JLF
- Check Database entries for errors JLF

Gasb 34 Footages for Historical Cost
Drain Length Log

Drain-Improvement: THOMAS FAUCH

Drain Type:	Size:	Length ()	Length (DB Query)	Length Reconcile	If Applicable:	
					Price:	Cost:
TILE	10"	2156'	2156'		3.22/lf	6468.22
OPEN DITCH		3544'	3544'		19.55/lf	67285.20

Sum: 5700' 5700' \$ 75,753.20

Final Report: 5700'

Comments:

thereof referred to George Duganow. Said report is in the words and figures following to wit

Report of Commissioners

To the Honorable Judge of Hamilton Circuit Court
 The undersigned commissioners of drainage to whom was referred the petition of Thomas J. Howeth for the drainage of the north west quarter of the north east quarter of section sixteen (16) township twenty (20) north of range three (3) east in Hamilton County respectfully report that they have inspected personally all the lands described in said petition and all other lands liable to be affected by the construction of the proposed work and are of the opinion that the proposed drainage will improve the public health of that neighborhood, will benefit one public highway, will be of public utility, and that the same can be constructed at an expense less than the aggregate benefits to the lands to be affected thereby and after causing the necessary survey and examination to be made are of the opinion that the method of drainage should be as follows to wit. They estimate the cost of constructing the works at \$ 805.00 all are. They further report that they have estimated the benefits and injury to the several tracts of land which will be affected by the works as follows

Owners	Description	1	2	3	acres	Benefits
Thomas J. Howeth	NW 1/4 NE 1/4	16	20	3	40	160.00
David Ogilvie	SW 1/4 NE 1/4	16	20	3	40	143.00
Frank Vickory	NE 1/4 NW 1/4	16	20	3	40	13.00
Land	NE 1/4 SE 1/4 NW 1/4	16	20	3	10	46.00
John Miller	NE 1/4 SW 1/4 SE 1/4	9	20	3	10	15.00
Same	SE 1/4 SE 1/4	9	20	3	40	46.00
John Doering	NW 1/4 SE 1/4	9	20	3	40	158.00
Marion Miller	NW 1/4 NW 1/4 SE 1/4	9	20	3	20	97.00
J. W. Hull	NW 1/4 NE 1/4 SE 1/4	9	20	3	20	61

Day. Hamilton Circuit Court,

Term, 188

Elizabeth Meiler	SE 1/4 SW 1/4 SE 1/4	9	20	3	10	10.00	
for L Vickery	SE 1/4 NW 1/4 except 10 acres on side	10	20	3	30	61.00	
Wilson Hackleman	pt SE 1/4 Begin SE corner N 57 W 70 N 65 W 70	9	20	3	25	77.00	
Orriew of Meiler	pt SE 1/4 SW 1/4 begin NE corner 10 W 80 or 10 E 80	9	23	3	5	15.00	
Same	NE SW	9	20	3	40	20.00	
Marion Harbaugh	SE 1/4 NE 1/4	9	20	3	40	15.00	
Peter Hockenberry	SE 1/4 SW 1/4 NE 1/4	9	20	3	10	10.00	
William Slarduent	N 1/2 NE 1/4 NE 1/4	10	20	3	20	5.00	
David Whetstone	Estoy	}	9	20	3	10	5.00
G M Biddle	SE 1/4 NE 1/4 SE 1/4						
L L Ogle	N 1/2 E 1/2 NE 1/4 SE 1/4						
Adams J P McGraw	E + W in center	9	14	20	3	10.00	
"	E + W between	9	20	3		26.00	
Total assessment						1001.00	

All of which is respectfully submitted

G W Dugerman

J B Miles

E H Kettingham

Commissioners

By the construction of a ditch commencing 24 rods south of the south west corner of the north west quarter of the north east quarter of section 16 township 20 north of range 3 east in Hamilton County and run thence north 300ft thence N 47° E 450ft thence N 49 1/2° E 350ft thence N 85° E 760ft thence north on the line 1040ft thence S 20° E 100ft and terminate 22 rods north and three rods east east of the south west corner of the east half of the north east quarter of section 9 township and range above. The following is a tabular statement of the No Station, depth of cut at each station width at bottom & top at each station number of cubic yards in each section of 100ft and the cost per cubic yard. They further specify that the banks of said ditch are to be cut

DRAWING NUMBER
Thomas I. Fouch
187


DRAWING NUMBER
 PLANNED DEVELOPMENT - IRVINE, CALIFORNIA
 REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL SURVEYOR

DRAWING NUMBER
 PLANNED DEVELOPMENT - IRVINE, CALIFORNIA
 REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL SURVEYOR


DRAWING NUMBER
 PLANNED DEVELOPMENT - IRVINE, CALIFORNIA
 REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL SURVEYOR

R3E

T20N



ADAMS TOWNSHIP



PREPARED BY:
 JANET M. GUFFEY
 JULY 2, 1980
 APPROVED BY:
Hamilton Co. Surveyor
 HAMILTON CO. SURVEYOR

- LEGENDS
- ROAD
 - PROPERTY LINE
 - TILE DRAIN
 - OPEN DRAIN
 - NATURAL DRAIN
 - PRIVATE TILE
 - CATCH BASIN
 - HEAD WALL
 - APPROX. LOCATION
 - RAIL ROAD
 - AERIAL USED - 1:12

SMALL PLATS

1	PURCIFIL, JAMES F. & CECELIA	01-09-00-00-001.002	2	Ac
2	BARKER, CHARLES V. & RITA A.	001.001	1.5	Ac
3	FOSTER, LARRY R. & WE PATRICIA	016	.77	Ac
4	010.001	1ac		

THOMAS I. FOUCH DRAIN SCALE - 1" = 660'